Project title:	Improving integrated pest and disease management in tree fruit
Project number:	TF223
Project leader:	Dr Robert Saville East Malling Research
Report:	Annual report, March 2017 (Year 2)
Previous report:	Annual report, March 2016 (Year 1)
Key staff:	Dr Robert Saville (EMR) Dr Michelle Fountain (EMR) Dr Angela Berrie (EMR) Mr Chris Nicholson (ADAS) Prof David Hall (NRI) Dr Rob Jackson (UoR)
Location of project:	NIAB EMR (Lead), RSK ADAS, Natural Resources Institute, University of Reading.
Industry Representative:	The programme management group (PMG) Nigel Kitney, Jeremy Linsell, Nigel Jenner and Tom Hulme
Date project commenced:	01/04/2015
Date project completed:	31/03/2020

# DISCLAIMER

While the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board seeks to ensure that the information contained within this document is accurate at the time of printing, no warranty is given in respect thereof and, to the maximum extent permitted by law the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board accepts no liability for loss, damage or injury howsoever caused (including that caused by negligence) or suffered directly or indirectly in relation to information and opinions contained in or omitted from this document.

© Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board 2016. No part of this publication may be reproduced in any material form (including by photocopy or storage in any medium by electronic mean) or any copy or adaptation stored, published or distributed (by physical, electronic or other means) without prior permission in writing of the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board, other than by reproduction in an unmodified form for the sole purpose of use as an information resource when the Agriculture and Horticulture Development Board or AHDB Horticulture is clearly acknowledged as the source, or in accordance with the provisions of the Copyright, Designs and Patents Act 1988. All rights reserved.

All other trademarks, logos and brand names contained in this publication are the trademarks of their respective holders. No rights are granted without the prior written permission of the relevant owners.

The results and conclusions in this report are based on an investigation conducted over a oneyear period. The conditions under which the experiments were carried out and the results have been reported in detail and with accuracy. However, because of the biological nature of the work it must be borne in mind that different circumstances and conditions could produce different results. Therefore, care must be taken with interpretation of the results, especially if they are used as the basis for commercial product recommendations.

## AUTHENTICATION

We declare that this work was done under our supervision according to the procedures described herein and that the report represents a true and accurate record of the results obtained.

Robert Saville	
Project leader, Plant Pathologist	
NIAB EMR	
Signature	Date
Michelle Fountain	
Entomologist	
NIAB EMR	
Signature	Date
Demant and have	
Report authorised by:	
Rachel Lockley	
Rachel Lockley	
Rachel Lockley Fruit Technical Manager	Date
Rachel Lockley Fruit Technical Manager AHDB	Date

Signature ..... Date .....

## **GROWER SUMMARY**

#### **Objective 8 – Apple fruit rhynchites weevil and apple sawfly**

Project TF 223 is a five year project which was commissioned to tackle a number of current pests and diseases affecting tree fruit crops. Objective 8 has been dealing with the search for sex pheromones for the apple fruit rhynchites weevil and apple sawfly, which could be used in a sex pheromone monitoring trap.

#### Headline

• Work has started to identify a sex pheromone for the apple sawfly.

### **Background and expected deliverables**

In the first year of the project, the researchers sought to identify a sex pheromone for the apple fruit rhynchites weevil. As this was unsuccessful, attention has turned to the apple sawfly. Apple sawfly is a locally common and problem pest, particularly in organic orchards where products for effective control are not available. However, timing of application relies on knowing when the first flight is occurring and when females are laying eggs. The aim of this project is to identify the sex pheromone of the apple sawfly for use in future monitoring and mating disruption studies.

#### Summary of the project and main conclusions

Apples infested by apple sawfly larvae were collected in spring 2015 from an unsprayed orchard at NIAB EMR. The apples were placed onto compost in mesh covered bins. Larvae were allowed to crawl out and enter the compost. As apple sawfly has only one generation per year these were maintained outside until spring 2016. However, no apple sawfly adults emerged and pupae were found to be infected with either bacteria or fungus. The previous winter had been very wet and it was speculated that the soil may have become too wet outside.

In spring 2016 apple sawfly infested apples were collected again and kept in drier conditions in compost filled bins (as above) in the laboratory until November, when the bins were transferred to outdoor conditions and covered to prevent too much rain entering. Initial analyses of 24 diapausing larvae have shown only three were alive. The bins will be brought into room conditions in spring 2017 for emergence of adults and headspace volatile collection for pheromone identification.

## **Financial benefits**

If it goes unnoticed in an apple orchard, the apple sawfly can cause very significant damage. Eggs are laid in flowers and young larvae feed just beneath the surface of the skin on developing fruitlets, leaving characteristic ribbon scars. The larvae can also consume the flesh of the developing fruits. Losses can be severe, particularly when the amount of blossom or crop set is light. In the past, broad spectrum insecticides used to control other spring pests soon after petal fall offered incidental control of apple sawfly, but the number of approved products available has diminished, so apple sawfly could become a bigger problem for growers in future.

The development of a sex pheromone for use in a monitoring trap will help to identify the need for a specific control spray and ensure that it is applied at the optimum time, thus avoiding unnecessary additional sprays at extra expense.

## Action points for growers

• At this stage in the project, it is too early to offer any action points for growers based on the research done so far.